

## Re: cvs commit: src/sys/fs/nullfs null.h null\_subr.c null\_vnops.c

*Source:* <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/arch/2003-06/0265.html>

---

**From:** Poul-Henning Kamp (*phk\_at\_phk.freebsd.dk*)

**Date:** 06/19/03

To: David Schultz <das@FreeBSD.ORG>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jun 2003 13:43:49 +0200

You are pretty much spot on with your observations.

I don't think there is much fundamental disagreement about what needs to happen, where we need to go, if you ask the different people who have studied this mess of code, so I am not so worried about us not ending up the right place. The danger of course is if somebody attacks a subset of the problem without holding the entire problem in focus.

My comments about nullfs and unionfs, shoul not be construed as I want to kill those features, it was more meant as "they will not be my primary priorities and if they break temporarily, so be it."

Stackable filesystems are not exactly mandatory, but I think we need to have them for a number of important applications.

--

Poul-Henning Kamp           | UNIX since Zilog Zeus 3.20  
phk@FreeBSD.ORG            | TCP/IP since RFC 956  
FreeBSD committer          | BSD since 4.3-tahoe  
Never attribute to malice what can adequately be explained by incompetence.

---

freebsd-arch@freebsd.org mailing list  
<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-arch>  
To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-arch-unsubscribe@freebsd.org"