

Re: dynamically linked root and nscd

Source: <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/arch/2003-06/0317.html>

From: Bosko Milekic (bmilekic_at_technokratis.com)

Date: 06/27/03

Date: Fri, 27 Jun 2003 12:16:46 +0000
To: Robert Watson <rwatson@freebsd.org>

On Fri, Jun 27, 2003 at 12:02:38PM -0400, Robert Watson wrote:

>
> *On Fri, 27 Jun 2003, Bosko Milekic wrote:*
>
> > *I hate to intrude like this here, but I have a question.*
> >
> > *When you guys talk about "caching daemon," I hope you mean the same*
> > *thing. Do you mean "a daemon that would only do caching and be queried*
> > *by the libc stuff before the nss code calls the backend" or do you mean*
> > *"a daemon that the nss code would talk to and that would not only do*
> > *caching but also take care of calling the backend?" Because, in the*
> > *former case, you still need to dynamically link whereas in the latter*
> > *(more appealing case), you don't.*
>
> *What I have in mind is an NSS libc stub client that speaks to a UNIX*
> *domain socket, which hooks you up to nscd which dynamically links against*
> *the providers of the NSS lookup services.*

Yes, this is precisely what I had in mind, too.

I found the relevant post:

From: Michael Bushkov <bushman@rsu.ru>
List-Id: Technical Discussions relating to FreeBSD
<freebsd-hackers.freebsd.org>
Subject: Re[2]: nscd for freebsd
Date: Fri, 20 Jun 2003 17:43:06 +0400

It seems that the person in the CC was involved in the first discussion, too; these are in fact the same guys from RSU.

So, to the guys from RSU: why is a dynamically-linked-root important for the daemon dispatcher/cache engine idea?

--

Bosko Milekic * bmilekic@technokratis.com * bmilekic@FreeBSD.org
TECHNOkRATIS Consulting Services * <http://www.technokratis.com/>

freebsd-arch: Re: dynamically linked root and nscd

freebsd-arch@freebsd.org mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-arch>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-arch-unsubscribe@freebsd.org"