

Re: Removing T/TCP and replacing it with something simpler

Source: <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/arch/2004-10/0166.html>

From: Andre Oppermann (andre_at_freebsd.org)

Date: 10/21/04

Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2004 19:57:09 +0200

To: mallman@icir.org

Mark Allman wrote:

> > *Thus after the removal of T/TCP for the reasons above I want to provide*
> > *a work-alike replacement for T/TCP's functionality:*
>
> *I haven't fully digested this yet. But, I'll voice my distaste for*
> *implementing things that just seem to "Make Sense". That's a model that*
> *has been used and is used by other operating systems and those of us who*
> *watch packets can attest that things that "Make Sense" often don't and*
> *likely would have benefitted by a bit more thought and a bit more*
> *vetting. I would be happier if something like this were vetted out a*
> *bit more (written up, digested by folks, etc.) before it went into*
> *anything but someone's experimental kernel. Just my two cents.*

Sure. To make you sleep better it will be disabled by default (like T/TCP) and possibly even not compiled in by default (#ifdef). If enabled and compiled in it does not automatically enable itself for all and everything. The application has to enable it on the socket as well.

A writeup will follow once I get there. I made this request before I start working on it to prevent to waste my time on it if people wanted to religiously stick to T/TCP.

--

Andre

freebsd-arch@freebsd.org mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-arch>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-arch-unsubscribe@freebsd.org"