

# Re: A sort of plan for consoles in FreeBSD

---

*Source:* <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/arch/2006-05/msg00085.html>

---

- *From:* "Poul-Henning Kamp" <[phk@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:phk@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 28 May 2006 10:07:11 +0200
- 

In message <4478F767.5090403@xxxxxxxxxxxx>, Doug Barton writes:

At first glance I don't see anything wrong with that logic. My one request is that you add a branch that says, "if legacy behavior is requested by <some configuration method>, add it to the mix."

Legacy behaviour is exactly what we need to avoid to get the device locking sorted out, so this would be rather poisonous to the entire effort.

—

Poul-Henning Kamp | UNIX since Zilog Zeus 3.20  
phk@xxxxxxxxxxxx | TCP/IP since RFC 956  
FreeBSD committer | BSD since 4.3-tahoe  
Never attribute to malice what can adequately be explained by incompetence.

---

freebsd-arch@xxxxxxxxxxxx mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-arch>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-arch-unsubscribe@xxxxxxxxxxxx"