

Re: *at family of syscalls in FreeBSD

Source: <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/arch/2007-06/msg00052.html>

- *From:* Roman Divacky <rdivacky@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 9 Jun 2007 12:36:09 +0200
-

On Fri, Jun 08, 2007 at 10:56:38PM +0200, Dag-Erling Sm??rgrav wrote:

Roman Divacky <rdivacky@xxxxxxxxxxx> writes:

On Thu, Jun 07, 2007 at 05:56:39PM -0700, Eric Lemar wrote:

Obviously I prefer the wrapping, but I'm just a tad biased :)

well.. unless I hear some strong voice to change what I have I am not changing it. it can always be changed in future.

I would strongly urge you to consider following Eric's suggestion; it is conceptually far cleaner.

ok, that was the strong voice I wanted to hear :) the patch is here:

www.vlakno.cz/~rdivacky/linux_at.patch

changes:

I consistently use the mode of having

```
kern_foo() {  
return kern_fooat(..., AT_FDCWD);  
}
```

and kern_fooat() being the complete syscall calling the kern_get_at(). There is no kern_common_foo() anymore.

the only comment from Eric now is the flags parameter but I don't think we should implement it right now as we don't have any use for it so the patch is ok as it is. we will add the flags parameter once we implement some functionality using it.

comments?

roman

Re: *at family of syscalls in FreeBSD

freebsd-arch@xxxxxxxxxxx mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-arch>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-arch-unsubscribe@xxxxxxxxxxx"