

freebsd-current: Re: procfs problem

## Re: procfs problem

**Source:** <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/current/2003-09/1705.html>

---

**From:** Jason Stone ([freebsd-current\\_at\\_dfmm.org](mailto:freebsd-current_at_dfmm.org))

**Date:** 09/30/03

Date: Mon, 29 Sep 2003 15:40:49 -0700 (PDT)

To: [freebsd-current@freebsd.org](mailto:freebsd-current@freebsd.org)

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

Hash: SHA1

```
> > > JM>map02# strace -p 730
> > > JM>strace: open("/proc/...", ...): No such file or directory
> > > JM>trouble opening proc file
> >
> > > You must mount procfs.
> > >
> > > #fstab;
> > > proc /proc procfs rw 0 0
> >
> > The bi-weekly status messages have been claiming that all the common
> > debugging tools except for truss have been converted to work without
> > procfs, since procfs is now deprecated. Does that not include strace, or
> > is there something else wrong here?
>
> strace is not part of FreeBSD.
```

Oh – I didn't think that truss was either, which lead me to believe that someone had gone through ports and fixed a bunch of them.

Is someone working on fixing the strace and truss ports yet? Are there other popular ports that depend on procfs?

–Jason

---

Freud himself was a bit of a cold fish, and one cannot avoid the suspicion that he was insufficiently fondled when he was an infant.

— Ashley Montagu

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

Version: GnuPG v1.2.1 (FreeBSD)

Comment: See <https://private.idealab.com/public/jason/jason.gpg>

iD8DBQE/eLTxswXMWWtptckRAAtTdAKCiiZ1ffDqyLx0ZdT8pKEGmv1LX/wCgrTbx

Re: procfs problem

freebsd-current: Re: procfs problem

M3/ZMG8QAlivfTdyfm3zNWM=  
=s77n

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

---

freebsd-current@freebsd.org mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-current>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-current-unsubscribe@freebsd.org"