

Re: Improvements to fsck performance in -current ...?

Source: <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/current/2003-10/0034.html>

From: Alexander Leidinger (*Alexander_at_Leidinger.net*)

Date: 10/01/03

Date: Wed, 1 Oct 2003 16:53:15 +0200

To: Steve Kargl <sgk@troutmask.apl.washington.edu>

On Wed, 1 Oct 2003 07:22:58 -0700

Steve Kargl <sgk@troutmask.apl.washington.edu> wrote:

> On Wed, Oct 01, 2003 at 01:19:26PM +0200, Alexander Leidinger wrote:

>> On Tue, 30 Sep 2003 16:25:06 -0700

>> Steve Kargl <sgk@troutmask.apl.washington.edu> wrote:

>>

>>> As soon as Kirk committed the snapshot capability, snapshot became available

>>> on UFS1. The only requirement is softupdates and softupdates pre-dates

>>> UFS2.

>>

>> Snapshots are available in 4.9? I thought it's not only about the FS

>> structure, it's about the code in -current (which is much different from

>> the 4.x code).

>>

>

> I wrote snapshots require softupdates. How you jumped

> to the conclusion that 4.x has snapshots is beyond me.

> My truck has a 4-speed manual transmission, therefore

> all trucks have 4-speed manual transmissions.

I've read a "committed ... to RELENG_4, snapshot" because I had the impression we talked about RELENG_4.

Sorry,

Alexander.

--

Loose bits sink chips.

<http://www.Leidinger.net>

Alexander @ Leidinger.net

GPG fingerprint = C518 BC70 E67F 143F BE91 3365 79E2 9C60 B006 3FE7

freebsd-current@freebsd.org mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-current>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-current-unsubscribe@freebsd.org"