

## Re: OpenPAM Figwort broke PAM modules from ports

**Source:** <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/current/2005-06/1400.html>

---

**From:** Robert Watson ([rwatson\\_at\\_FreeBSD.org](mailto:rwatson_at_FreeBSD.org))

**Date:** 06/29/05

Date: Wed, 29 Jun 2005 11:11:25 +0100 (BST)

To: Jeremie Le Hen <[jeremie@le-hen.org](mailto:jeremie@le-hen.org)>

On Wed, 29 Jun 2005, Jeremie Le Hen wrote:

> *Would you, or some other commiter, mind putting a note in src/UPDATING*  
> *about this please ? This doesn't cost too much while preventing users*  
> *from wasting time on understanding why their PAM module installed from*  
> *ports don't work any longer when they are not specified with their full*  
> *path.*

Could you propose specific text to add to UPDATING, and I'll drop it in?  
Perhaps something along the lines of the following:

Some previous versions of PAM have permitted the use of non-absolute paths in /etc/pam.conf or /etc/pam.d/\* when referring to third party PAM modules in /usr/local/lib. A change has been made to require the use of absolute paths in order to avoid ambiguity and dependence on library path configuration, which may affect existing configurations.

Robert N M Watson

---

[freebsd-current@freebsd.org](mailto:freebsd-current@freebsd.org) mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-current>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "[freebsd-current-unsubscribe@freebsd.org](mailto:freebsd-current-unsubscribe@freebsd.org)"