

Re: Can't link kernel after recent libalias commits?

## Re: Can't link kernel after recent libalias commits?

---

*Source:* <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/current/2006-12/msg00649.html>

---

- *From:* Robert Watson <[rwatson@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:rwatson@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 31 Dec 2006 15:09:55 +0000 (GMT)
- 

On Sun, 31 Dec 2006, Paolo Pisati wrote:

On Sat, Dec 30, 2006 at 11:04:33PM +0100, Max Laier wrote:

On Saturday 30 December 2006 22:46, Paolo Pisati wrote:

On Sat, Dec 30, 2006 at 01:43:21PM -0800, David Wolfskill wrote:

Note that this was for a kernel that uses ipfw, but not natd (ref. src/sys/conf/NOTES).

my mistake, i'll write an entry for UPDATING.

Shouldn't it still be possible to build a kernel with IPFW but without LIBALIAS? i.e. instead of a UPDATING entry you should just wrap the libalias entry points in IPFW – or am I misunderstanding what you are saying?

with my last commit, LIBALIAS became mandatory for IPFW, and this adds 40kb (-O nocona) to my kernel size.

If it's really an issue, i can change it.

Yes -- I'd prefer it if we could make LIBALIAS optional for IPFW, similar to dumynet, ipdivert, etc. Is this something done without too much trouble?

Robert N M Watson  
Computer Laboratory  
University of Cambridge

---

freebsd-current@xxxxxxxxxxxxx mailing list

Re: Can't link kernel after recent libalias commits?

Re: Can't link kernel after recent libalias commits?

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-current>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-current-unsubscribe@xxxxxxxxxxxx"