

Re: [patch] Re: dlopen() and dlclose() are not MT-safe? YES, esp. for libthr

Re: [patch] Re: dlopen() and dlclose() are not MT-safe? YES, esp. for libthr

Source: <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/hackers/2006-03/msg00241.html>

- *From:* Kostik Belousov <kostikbel@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 24 Mar 2006 14:35:38 +0200
-

On Fri, Mar 24, 2006 at 01:12:08PM +0100, joerg@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On Fri, Mar 24, 2006 at 08:54:34PM +0900, Kazuaki Oda wrote:

* The current implementation of rtdl has a problem both with libpthread and libthr. It works only with libc_r.

It doesn't work correctly with libc_r. Concurrent dlopen and dlclose of the same shared object doesn't work fully correctly ATM, but the patch isn't the best approach either.

Joerg

You promised the patch. Anyway, part of my patch that touched rtdl_lock.c shall be discarded.

Attachment: [pgpIGEq6mDF13.pgp](#)

Description: PGP signature