

Re: find -lname and -ilname implemented

Re: find -lname and -ilname implemented

Source: <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/hackers/2008-02/msg00413.html>

- *From:* Alex Zbyslaw <xfb52@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 25 Feb 2008 23:06:01 +0000
-

Giorgos Keramidas wrote:

On 2008-02-23 16:48, "M. Warner Losh" <imp@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

This knee-jerk reaction against gnu find functionality baffles me. The changes are trivial and make FreeBSD more compatible. It is such an obvious no-brainer that I frankly didn't expect anybody to bat an eye.

So should I expect similar knee-jerk reactions to the just committed 'finger compatibility' option to implement `du -l` for hardlinks?

FWIW, a vote in favour of compatibility shims.

It's just a shame that this won't cut both ways – it would be nice, for example, if Linux find would implement proper units to `-atime` etc. The FreeBSD syntax of `-atime +12h` is so much nicer than `-amin +720`. Ah well, can but dream...

--Alex

freebsd-hackers@xxxxxxxx mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-hackers>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-hackers-unsubscribe@xxxxxxxx"