

RE: Traffic Monitor

Source: <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/isp/2004-04/0131.html>

From: Wolfpaw – Dale Corse (*admin-lists_at_wolfpaw.net*)

Date: 04/22/04

To: <pi@LF.net>

Date: Thu, 22 Apr 2004 09:45:18 -0600

Meh.. I guess :P I'm just one of those people that prefers Things run as close to perfect as possible :)

D.

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Kurt Jaeger [mailto:lists@complx.LF.net]

> Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2004 9:22 AM

> To: Wolfpaw – Dale Corse

> Cc: 'Spidey Knepscheld'; freebsd-isp@freebsd.org

> Subject: Re: Traffic Monitor

>

>

> Hi!

>

>>> to a 100base switch. The reason I used the 10base HUB is

>>> because it broadcasts all the data to all the ports. So for

>>> all data to and from the firewall will be caught by the

>>> Monitoring BSD box. I hope this makes sense.

>>

>> That is an *_extremely_* bad idea. Hubs have major collisions,

>

> Yes, but he only has a 256 kbit uplink. Therefore, that's OK.

>

> --

> MfG/Best regards, Kurt Jaeger

> 16 years to go !

> LF.net GmbH fon +49 711 90074-23 pi@LF.net

> Ruppmannstr. 27 fax +49 711 90074-33

> D-70565 Stuttgart mob +49 171 3101372

>

> -----

> -----

> This message has been scanned for Spam and Viruses by ClamAV

> and SpamAssassin

> -----

> -----

freebsd-isp: RE: Traffic Monitor

>
>
>

freebsd-isp@freebsd.org mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-isp>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-isp-unsubscribe@freebsd.org"