

## Re: ten thousand small processes

**Source:** <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/performance/2003-06/0106.html>

---

**From:** D. J. Bernstein (*djb\_at\_cr.yt.to*)

**Date:** 06/26/03

Date: 26 Jun 2003 21:26:59 -0000

To: freebsd-performance@freebsd.org

Bakul Shah writes:

- > *Instead of complaining about wasting 78 megabytes and arguing*
- > *about why various proposed solutions fall short and why your*
- > *way is the best, why don't you come up with a patch that*
- > *saves space for small programs?*

Funny. Seems to me that I keep making concrete suggestions---including a detailed proposal for giving more space to malloc()---and the answer is consistently ``We really don't care about per-process overhead." What's the benefit of a patch for people who don't even see the problem?

---D. J. Bernstein, Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, University of Illinois at Chicago

---

freebsd-performance@freebsd.org mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-performance>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-performance-unsubscribe@freebsd.org"