

Performance 4.x vs. 6.x (was: e: [fbsd] HEADS UP: FreeBSD 5.3, 5.4, 6.0 EoLs coming soon)

Source: <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/performance/2006-10/msg00020.html>

- *From:* Alexander Leidinger <Alexander@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 12 Oct 2006 15:22:06 +0200
-

Quoting Dan Lukes <dan@xxxxxxxxxx> (from Thu, 12 Oct 2006 09:43:20 +0200):

[moved from security@ to performance@]

The main problem is – 6.x is still not competitive replacement for 4.x. I'm NOT speaking about old unsupported hardware – I spoke about performance in some situation and believe in it's stability.

You can't be sure that a committer has the resources to setup an environment where he is able to reproduce your performance problems. You on the other hand have hands-on experience with the performance problem. If you are able to setup a –current system (because there are changes which may affect performance already, and it is the place where the nuw stuff will be develop) which exposes the bad behavior, you could make yourself familiar with the pmc framework (<http://wiki.freebsd.org/PmcTools>, I'm sure jkoshy@ will help if you have questions) and point out the bottlenecks on current@ and/or performance@ (something similar happened for MySQL, and now we have a webpage in the wiki about it). Without such reports, we can't handle the issue.

Further discussion about this should happen in performance@ or current@...

Bye,
Alexander.

--

"A penny for your thoughts?"

"A dollar for your death."

-- The Odd Couple

<http://www.Leidinger.net> Alexander @ Leidinger.net: PGP ID = B0063FE7

<http://www.FreeBSD.org> netchild @ FreeBSD.org : PGP ID = 72077137

freebsd-performance@xxxxxxxxxxxxx mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-performance>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-performance-unsubscribe@xxxxxxxxxxxxx"