

Re: postgresql benchmarking

Source: <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/performance/2007-03/msg00045.html>

- *From:* Kris Kennaway <kris@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 14 Mar 2007 03:41:01 -0400
-

On Wed, Mar 14, 2007 at 10:29:36AM +0300, Boris Samorodov wrote:

On Wed, 14 Mar 2007 03:16:10 -0400 Kris Kennaway wrote:

On Wed, Mar 14, 2007 at 09:42:00AM +0300, Boris Samorodov wrote:

On Tue, 13 Mar 2007 20:55:46 -0400 Kris Kennaway wrote:

On the same test postgresql is indeed faster,
I haven't finished
benchmarking it yet though.

Kris, I'm interested at postgresql benchmarking at
two-xeon-quad-core
server with 3WARE RAID adapter. Can you give me some
tips?
Thank you.

Well I'm using sysbench and the collection of patches in my
kris-contention p4 branch. Sorry, I don't have an extracted patch set
available for you to try, but we're hoping to get the most important
patches into 7.0 within a week or two.

On the pgsq side, disable the update_process_titles option (or
whatever it is called), because this has a 33% performance overhead
for me. Also if you're testing over localhost, use a unix socket

As for me, I don't like testing with local clients.

If that's not the target workload you're trying to model, that's quite
reasonable. For me the only reason I have been using localhost

Re: postgresql benchmarking

exclusively is because the test machines are currently only connected by 100baseTX ethernet, which is not good enough for achieving high query loads.

Kris

freebsd-performance@xxxxxxxxxxx mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-performance>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-performance-unsubscribe@xxxxxxxxxxx"