

Re: solaris

Source: <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/questions/2006-09/msg00500.html>

- *From:* White Hat <pigskin_referee@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 6 Sep 2006 11:54:49 -0700 (PDT)
-

--- Fremlinlins <fremiinlins@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On 06/09/06, White Hat <pigskin_referee@xxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

Immaterial. the singularly most important feature

is

suitability to task. If it is free and it does not
work, what good is it?

It depends what you are using it for. You made a
comment about "occaisonal
word processing" (pasted below). For such use
OpenOffice is perfectly good
enough.

That is a totally unqualified evaluation. While it may
be totally suitable for one individual, that in no way
infers that it meets the requirements of another.
There is no way you can define an end users
requirements based solely on your own usage.

Yes, the lack of documentation is a shame.

In Windows, yes. In FreeBSD I can't see a lack.

You are kidding right. I can find vastly more
documentation available for a win32 machine than for
FBSD. In fact, the lact of documentation is one of the

Re: solaris

reasons that support groups like this evolved. To my great dismay, I am forced to search for and then download documentation via the web. Even then, that is often dated. Not anyones fault, it is just the way it goes.

The same lack of documentation plagues every facet of software today.

No it doesn't. FreeBSD is well documented.

It is above average, I will agree. However, if it were really perfect then this forum would not exist.

However, you have made my point.

No I haven't. I have contradicted your point. You said " A very large majority of users simply want to use their PCs for email, occasional word processing and possible game playing." I am saying that using XP as you suggested is not as easy as you suggest for a very large number of people.

If that were true, MS woul