

Re: how much beer do I need to get this patch applied?

Re: how much beer do I need to get this patch applied?

Source: <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD/stable/2007-06/msg00333.html>

- *From:* Jo Rhett <jrhett@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 22 Jun 2007 12:59:31 -0700
-

On Jun 21, 2007, at 1:31 AM, Dennis Melentyev wrote:

While I haven't seen any problem with patch itself since it just adding a `_useful_` knob, I personally managed to use procmail to filter most of that SPAM. My solution is not as CPU friendly as patch but 2000 messages is not an ultimate load for modern comps.

Of course not. The trouble is properly writing a script that will recognize normal and not-normal output for thousands of different scripts. And dealing with any failures in that extra script.

In short, this solution adds complexity without any improvement over the patch.

I've been using this patch for 2 years now. Or, this patch for a year and a not-as-good patch I made a year before that. We push out the revised periodic script using cfengine. But I hate overwriting OS files, and I believe that most people want this behavior.

—
Jo Rhett
senior geek

Silicon Valley Colocation
Support Phone: 408-400-0550

freebsd-stable@xxxxxxxxxxx mailing list
<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-stable>
To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-stable-unsubscribe@xxxxxxxxxxx"