

## J F: Bush knows he is a criminal

**Source:** <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/comp.os.vms/2004-12/0787.html>

---

**From:** Nomen Nescio (*nobody\_at\_dizum.com*)

**Date:** 12/12/04

Date: Sun, 12 Dec 2004 19:50:03 +0100 (CET)

JF Mezei <jfmezei@vaxination.ca> trolled:

>Cyrus Afzali wrote:

>> Any country's guarantees vis a vis rights will almost always tilt more

>> toward protecting its own citizens. Nothing specific to the U.S.

>> there.

>

>Read your own constitution. Tell me how you can interpret the legal rights

>that are guaranteed under your constitution apply more to citizens than non

>citizens. They apply to PEOPLE. If you are within the legal jurisdiction of

>the USA, any human must be afforded the rights guaranteed under your

>constitution. Your regime has ignored this and just conveniently said that

>only citizens are given rights.

>

>Consider the few cases of kidnapped humans held by americans who were

>transferred and given due legal process when it was realised they were US

>citizens, but those who were not US citizens were still denied due legal

>process and still held without charge.

>

>Consider the rights of police to detain anyone they suspect of having ties

>with terrorism. These are the exact same powers the USA were decrying the

>soviet regimes.

>

>> So don't be late -- that simple. If I'm driving a car with an expired

>> license, I'll be fined. I can't enter another country with an expired

>> passport. Life's really not that hard in many ways.

>

>

>You won't go to jail without right to call your lawyer and without being

>charged with a crime. People with legal papers in the USA were sent to jail

>and treated as criminals because the USA instituted new paperwork requirements

>and someone decided there was a dot missing on an i. One of them was a senior

>VP at Oracle. Not exactly the "illegal alien" mexican low wage worker you

>would be thinking about.

>

>> I'm not saying jail treatment is necessary for things like that, only

>> that most of a person's problems can be avoided.

>

comp.os.vms: J F: Bush knows he is a criminal

>Tell me how that scandinavian grand mother could have avoided jail by showing  
>up at USA customs ? If her papers were not in order, she should have been  
>refused entry and shown to the next flight home, as was the case before the  
>Bush regime put in the Patriot Act.

>

>> Personally, I don't

>> think we should be having many cross-border workers to begin with.

>

>Then tell your government to stop giving green cards to anyone. If you have a  
>green card, a house, family and pay taxes etc, you shouldn't have to fear  
>being sent to jail without valid reason.

>

>> If Canada wants to lavish free health care on their citizens, then our

>> GNP shouldn't help prop that up.

>

>Canadians who go to the USA don't help prop up our health care system.

>> That has nothing to do with this issue. He's not a criminal, only a

>> citizen of a country that no longer officially exists.

>

>He was refused entry into France for reason X. He was not sent to jail as he

>would have been had he arrived in the USA.

>

>> Enemy combattant actually does have a definition and that designation

>> must be specifically given.

>

>Bullshit. Patriot act allows law enforcement to detain anyone they feel like

>detaining by applying various designations. That is the whole point of patriot

>act: being able to detain anyone they couldn't detail with due legal process

>as was the case in the past.

>

>> Even those people have rights, the only

>> thing that's majorly different is they can be tried in a military

>> court rather than a U.S. civilian court.

>

>They have no rights. Canadians who were detained in the USA were not allowed

>one phone call, were not granted legal representation and were not charged

>with anything. They did not have the right to a cell where lights are turned

>off at night. (technically, leaving lights on 7/24 is torture BTW).

>

>It isn't just Gantanamo where civil rights have been abused. It has happened

>inside the USA big time. And I am only speaking about those canadians that

>were detained, there are many many more stories of people of arab ethnicity who

>were detained in similar ways.

>

>> Saddam did gas his own people and people in Iran. That's pretty much a

>> known. I don't approve of the operation against them and it ticks me

>> off that we ever backed that maniac in the first place.

>

>You didn't back him, you sold him those weapons. In fact, Rumsfeld and Bush

>Father arranged for those sales.

>

J F: Bush knows he is a criminal

comp.os.vms: J F: Bush knows he is a criminal

>And guess what: yes France had sold Saddam weapons. But that was in the 1970s,  
>and when Saddam was looking for more firepower to attack Iran, he went to the  
>USA where he met Rumsfeld and company who saw the opportunity to have Iraq hit  
>Iran with all sorts of nasty stuff and supported Hussein at which point France  
>stopped selling weapons and it was the USA that got the contract (complete  
>with satellite intelligence on where to hit Iran).

>  
>> Sanctions? Of what? We pay a ton of the freight for the U.N. And  
>> again, most of the countries that might bitch have sure as hell had  
>> their share of dirty laundry.

>  
>  
>Iraq also paid dues to the UN as a member. Yet it got sanctions big time for  
>invading Kuwait. The USA, and in fact no country, can be above the law. Right  
>now, because of its VETO at the UN, the USA cannot be punished for war  
>crimes, torture, violation of human rights etc.

>  
>Note how Bush knows that he is a criminal since he refuses to acknowledge the  
>ICC because he doesn't want to be put where he belongs: next to Milosovich,  
>Hitler and others.

>  
>Note that the ICC exists only for countries that refuse to try their leaders  
>for war crimes. And since, instead of impeaching the war criminal, americans  
>re-elected a criminal, the ICC then becomes more relevant because it is clear  
>that internal mechanisms will not try and punish Bush and his cabinet for war  
>crimes, as well as all the lies to the congress and american public.

>  
>  
>> You act as if Canada was bankrupted by allowing planes to land there  
>> on 9/11.

>  
>Not because of allowing them to land and hosting 30,000 passengers. But  
>because of the closing of air space which hurt airlines to a point where some  
>went under very quickly.

>  
>> And how many did you send? How much money did you send? Friendly fire  
>> is regrettable and should be punished, but that's beside the point and  
>> something that will happen in any conflict.

>  
>But when we hear about USA accusing Canada of not helping out, it hurts  
>because you don't even know we hepped big time and also had sacrifices.

>  
>> How many people did France send? Germany? Face it, after the U.S. and  
>> England, everyone else's "contribution" pales in comparison.

>  
>Wrong. For Afghanistan, it was a large multinational force. Sanctioned by UN.  
>It is Iraq where the USA is all alone with the UK and a few token troops from  
>bribed countries.

>  
>> As far as enriching its pockets with Iraqi trade, nobody comes close  
>> to France.

comp.os.vms: J F: Bush knows he is a criminal

>

>*You got it wrong. Selling cars in Iraq fills pockets of Renault, Citroen etc,  
>not the iraqi pockets.*

>

>> *Those sanctions were U.N. based, not just an act of the U.S.*

>

>*Correct. the 1990s sanctions on Iraq were UN sanctions, and only the UN could  
>decide to act on them.*

>

>> *The price of oil isn't something that the U.S. controls. So you can't  
>> blame us for that situation.*

>

>*Yes. As the largest consumer of oil, as as the country that refuses to sign up  
>to treaties to become more energy efficient, the USa not only contributes to  
>higher oil consumption, but laos cannot use its power to get developing  
>nations such as China and India to also cleanup their pollutionand oil  
>consumption problems.*

>

>> *So the billions upon billions that we're spending to rebuild the place  
>> is imaginary? News to me.*

>

>*The billions and billions are going to feed your army and to build new bombs  
>to drop on Fallujah. Not much as gone to actual reconstruction of all the  
>stuff you guys destroyed with your weapons of mass destruction.*

>

>*Red Cross has issued a statement that Falluja is uninhabitable because of too  
>much physical destruction of infrastructure.*

If you care so much, why aren't you in Falluja volunteering your humanitarian services?

Oh, I see, you'd rather rant 24/7 on usenet from the comfort of your own dungeon.

JF, how many years has it been since you last saw sunlight?