

## Re: Where is the charter for comp.unix.solaris?

**Source:** <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/comp.unix.solaris/2003-07/1168.html>

---

*Cypherpunk\_at\_nyc.rr.com*

**Date:** 07/13/03

Date: 13 Jul 2003 14:51:57 GMT

Mike Mann <mike@kempston.net> wrote:

> *Anythony Manic <jy@hotmail.com> wrote:*

>

> > *Hmmm ... Hormel Foods have a different definition of spam –*

> > [http://media.hormel.com/anm/templates/spam\\_museum.asp?articleid=8&zoneid=11](http://media.hormel.com/anm/templates/spam_museum.asp?articleid=8&zoneid=11)

> > *(Yeah, I know its not their definition but I think the SPAM*

> > *Museum is more interesting).*

>

> *Words have different meanings in different contexts.*

>

> > *By your definition, junk mail flooding my email inboxes isn't*

> > *spam. Also by your definition, off–topic posts aren't spam but*

> > *long running crossposted threads are. Strange.*

>

> *Indeed. Junk mail is UCE (unsolicited commercial email) although the*

> *term "spam" has been extended by usage to include UCE. I've never*

> *heard of anyone descibe off–topic posts as spam unless they are widely*

> *cross– or multi– posted, in which case the term is correct.*

Actually, as far as TV, newspaper and Bills in Congress go here in the U.S., UCE is referred to as spam. The same thing mailed many times, I guess.

Mike Mann <mike@kempston.net> wrote:

>

> *All the spam–cancellers are very clear about the definition*

> *of cancellable spam being content–neutral.*

>

> *Cypherpunk@nyc.rr.com wrote:*

>

> > *However, a \_single\_ MMF (Make Money Fast) post is considered*

> > *abuse \*of\* the net, and subject to the same sanctions. That is a*

> > *content based decision, obviously.*

>

> *Or is considered to be "substantively identical" to all the MMF*

> *postings that have gone before and therefore hits the Briedbart*

> *threshold in a single additional posting.*

comp.unix.solaris: Re: Where is the charter for comp.unix.solaris?

Oh, that's clever.

The difference being, no time range documentation needed,  
as required by the very definition of BI? ;—)

Anyone claiming it's not solely a content based decision is in denial.

No BI documentation is made. Spam-cancellers document the BI  
of spam when sending complaints to ISPs by enumerating enough  
posts to demonstrate  $BI > 20$ .