

## Re: Studio 10 compilers for Solaris released

**Source:** <http://unix.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/comp.unix.solaris/2005-02/0074.html>

---

**From:** Dan Koren ([dankoren\\_at\\_yahoo.com](mailto:dankoren_at_yahoo.com))

**Date:** 02/01/05

Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2005 23:42:59 -0500

"Richard L. Hamilton" <[Richard.L.Hamilton@mindwarp.smart.net](mailto:Richard.L.Hamilton@mindwarp.smart.net)> wrote in message [news:10vtemhf4pmge3e@corp.supernews.com](mailto:news:10vtemhf4pmge3e@corp.supernews.com)...

> *In article <[41fce2a2\\$1@news.meer.net](mailto:41fce2a2$1@news.meer.net)>*,

> *"Dan Koren" <[dankoren@yahoo.com](mailto:dankoren@yahoo.com)> writes:*

>>

>> *"Andrew Gabriel" <[andrew@cucumber.demon.co.uk](mailto:andrew@cucumber.demon.co.uk)> wrote in message*

>> *news:ctikci\$dfp\$1@new-usenet.uk.sun.com...*

>>>

>>> *It's not clear to me why anyone really*

>>> *needing to run a 64 bit OS would go for*

>>> *an EMT64 system after looking at the two*

>>> *architectures.*

>>

>> *Because their IT department or their CxO*

>> *tell them to buy Intel.*

>>

>> *Companies can very easily go out of business*

>> *while making the best technical decisions all*

>> *the time (see Control Data, Tandem, Pyramid or*

>> *SGI). The history of computing is littered with*

>> *superior technology. Remember the Motorola vs.*

>> *Intel debate of the late '80s?*

>

>

> *So you're saying there's safety in running with*

> *the lemmings, as long as it's a large enough bunch?*

>

No.

I'm merely observing the market, not making any recommendations. There is a reality out there that is bigger than any of our personal opinions. An undeniable part of that reality is that Intel's market share is still a lot bigger than AMD's or Sun's.

comp.unix.solaris: Re: Studio 10 compilers for Solaris released

As I said earlier, the only measure of success  
is success.

dk